

REMARKS

Claims 7-8 have been amended to change the dependency thereof from canceled claim 1 to independent claim 6. No new matter is added. The above amendment overcomes the rejection of claims 7-8 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Applicant would like to thank Examiner Chorbaji for the interview granted April 01, 2004. During that interview, it was determined that claims 6-8 should have been rejected under an obvious double patenting rejection as opposed to a double patenting rejection with respect to claims 1-3 of USPN 6,682,711-See interview summary dated April 01, 2004. Accordingly, attached herewith is a terminal disclaimer which overcomes the above-referenced obvious double patenting rejection.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and the filing of a terminal disclaimer, Applicant believes that the application meets all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all claims remaining in the application, specifically claims 6-8. In the event the Examiner has further difficulties with the examination and/or allowance of the application, he/she is invited to contact the undersigned agent for applicant by telephone at (412) 380-0725, if necessary to resolve any remaining questions or issues by interview and/or Examiner's Amendment as to any matter.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michele K. Yoder
Michele K. Yoder
Reg. No. 41,562
Agent for Applicant

JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES
2640 Pitcairn Rd.
Monroeville, Pa. 15146

Telephone: (412) 380-0725
Facsimile: (412) 380-0748